UNITED STATES DISTRICT COVERFOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case Number $\frac{CR-10-mi-704719V7}{}$
	V arellano
	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 1/14, 2010,
	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 2/9, 2000. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney V. Fully. The United States was represented by
	Assistant U.S. Attorney D. Assistant U.S. Attorney D.
	PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE
	// The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
	convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person
	from imprisonment, whichever is later.
	•
	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
	/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
	defendant has committed an offense
	A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
	seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
	B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	/// No presumption applies.
	PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE
	/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
	will be ordered detained.
	/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
	IIIN 1 A 2010
	Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.
	PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) BICHARD W WIFKING
	The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no conditions and include the conditions of the con
	onditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR SAN JOSE
	/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
	rill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
	ART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
	The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
;	thearing and finds as follows: The defendant is than sed with a Violation 168 USC \$ 1300
-	He is undolumented. He has both an alcohol problem and
<u>ک</u> ة ا	seard in a driving these has a failure to appear on the
7	
٠.	/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings. ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
•	
	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
COII	ections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
eth.	al. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a
deli	of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall
WUII.	er the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Date	1. 6/14/11) (Ochicia 1/ Qu. l. ()
∠ au	
	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
	United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ___